REF.	LEAD EXEC. DIRECTOR (ED)  MONITORING COMMITTEE	RISK	CURRENT	TARGET	MOVEMENT	REVIEW BY COMMITTEE
1. C	uality - Deliver th	e best possible care and outcomes				
<u>1.1</u>	Chief Nurse	Triangulating data and learning to drive Quality Improvement	12	8	$\leftrightarrow$	10/11/22
	Quality Committee	A failure to triangulate different sources of quality data and learning to inform and drive the quality improvement programme could result in patient harm, impaired outcomes, and/or poor patient experience.				
.5	Exec MD for MH & LD	Unavailability of beds/demand and capacity (Mental Health inpatient and LD)	16	8	$\uparrow$	10/11/22
	Quality Committee	Unavailability of beds (across all mental health inpatient services, including Adult MH & LD, and CAMHS, PICU, ED & GAU) due to: demand outstripping capacity and/or absence of support services in the community to prevent admissions and/or facilitate prompt discharge, could lead to: (i) increase in out of area placements further from home, (ii) inappropriate inpatient placements; (iii) patients being unable to access specialist care required to support recovery; (iv) patients and carers/families having a poor experience; and (v) services falling below reasonable public expectations.				
.6	Exec MD Primary Care & Community  Quality Committee	Demand and capacity (Community Oxfordshire)  [RISK UNDER REVIEW]  Risk that the population's continuously changing need for service exceeds the Trust's capability and capacity to respond in a timely way. Where there are instances of demand outstripping supply, there is a risk that waitlists will grow, quality and safety of care will be compromised, the needs of the service users could be insufficiently met and this will lead to poorer health outcomes and experiences.	16	12	$\leftrightarrow$	10/11/22
2. P	eople - Be a great	place to work				
2.1	Chief People Officer	Workforce Planning	16	9	$\leftrightarrow$	13/10/22
	PLC	Insufficient or ineffective planning for current and future workforce requirements (including number of staff, skill-mix and training) may lead to: impaired ability to deliver the quantity of healthcare services to the required standards of quality; and inability to achieve the business plan and strategic objectives.				
.2	Chief People Officer	Recruitment	16	9	$\leftrightarrow$	13/10/22
	PLC	A failure to recruit to vacancies could lead to: the quality and quantity of healthcare being impaired; pressure on existing staff and decreased resilience, health & wellbeing and staff morale; over-reliance on agency staffing at high cost/premiums and potential impairment in service quality; and loss of the Trust's reputation as an employer of choice.				
	Chief People Officer	Succession planning, organisational development and leadership development	12	4	$\leftrightarrow$	13/10/22
	PLC	Failure to maintain a coherent and co-ordinated structure and approach to succession planning, organisational development and leadership development may jeopardise: the development of robust clinical and non-clinical leadership to support service delivery and change; the Trust becoming a clinically-led organisation; staff being supported in their career development and to maintain competencies and training attendance; staff retention; and the Trust being a "well-led" organisation under the CQC domain				

2.4	Chief People Officer	Culture in line with Trust values	9	4	$\leftrightarrow$	13/10/22
	PLC	A failure to develop and maintain our culture in line with the Trust values and the NHS people promise which includes:				
		being compassionate and inclusive, recognition and reward, having a voice that counts, health, safety & wellbeing of				
		staff, working flexibly, supporting learning & development, promoting equality, diversity & inclusivity and fostering a				
		team culture. The absence of this could result in; harm to staff; an inability to recruit and retain staff; a workforce which				
		does not reflect Trust and NHS values; and poorer service delivery.				
2.5	Chief People Officer	Retention of staff	12	9	$\leftrightarrow$	13/10/22
	PLC	A failure to retain permanent staff could lead to: the quality of healthcare being impaired; pressure on staff and				
		decreased resilience, health & wellbeing and staff morale; over-reliance on agency staffing at high cost/premiums and				
		potential impairment in service quality; and loss of the Trust's reputation as an employer of choice.				

3. Su	stainability - Make	the best use of our resources and protect the environment				
3.1	Executive Director of Strategy & Partnerships Quality Committee	Failure of the Trust to: (i) engage in shared planning and decision-making at system and place level; and (ii) work collaboratively with partners to deliver and transform services at place and system-level  [Formerly - Failure of the Health and Social Care Place Based, Integrated Care Systems and Provider Collaboratives to work together]  Failure of the Trust to: (i) engage in shared planning and decision-making at system and place level; and (ii) work collaboratively with partners to deliver and transform services at place and system-level. Such failure would lead to ineffective planning and unsuccessful delivery and transformation of services in the Systems we operate in, and in turn impact both the sustainability and quality of healthcare provision in these Systems and in the Trust.	12	9	$\leftrightarrow$	10/11/22
3.2	Executive Director of Strategy & Partnerships Quality Committee	Governance of external partners  [RISK UNDER REVIEW – see detail in main body]  Failure to manage governance of external partners effectively, could: compromise service delivery and stakeholder engagement; lead to poor oversight of risks, challenges and relative quality amongst partners; and put at risk the Trust's integrity, reputation and accountability to its stakeholders and credibility as a system leader and partner of choice.	9	9	$\leftrightarrow$	10/11/22
3.4	Chief Finance Officer Finance & Investment	Delivery of the financial plan and maintaining financial sustainability  Failure to deliver financial plan and maintain financial sustainability, including, but not limited to: through non-delivery of CIP savings; budget overspends; under-funding and constraints of block contracts in the context of increasing levels of activity and demand, could lead to: an inability to deliver core services and health outcomes; financial deficit; intervention by NHS Improvement; and insufficient cash to fund future capital programmes.	16	12	$\leftrightarrow$	22/11/22
3.6	Director of Corporate Affairs & Co Sec Audit Committee	Governance and decision-making arrangements  Failure to maintain and/or adhere to effective governance and decision making arrangements, and/or insufficient understanding of the complexities of a decision may lead to: poor oversight at Board level of risks and challenges; (clinical or organisational) strategic objectives not being established or achieved; actual or perceived disenfranchisement of some stakeholders (including members of the Board, Governors and/or Members) from key strategic decisions; or damage to the Trust's integrity, reputation and accountability.	12	4	<b>↑</b>	23/02/22

3.7	Executive Director of Strategy & Partnerships Finance & Investment	Ineffective business planning arrangements Ineffective business planning arrangements may lead to: the Trust failing to achieve its strategic ambition; problems and issues recurring rather than being resolved; reactive rather than pro-active approaches; decision-making defaulting to short-termism; and inconsistent work prioritisation, further exacerbated by the challenge of limited resources, impacting upon staff frustration and low morale.	12	6	$\leftrightarrow$	22/11/22
3.10	Executive Director for Digital & Transformation  Finance & Investment	Information Governance & Cyber Security  Failure to protect the information we hold as a result of ineffective information governance and/or cyber security could lead to: personal data and information being processed unlawfully (with resultant legal or regulatory fines or sanctions), cyber-attacks which could compromise the Trust's infrastructure and ability to deliver services and patient care, especially if loss of access to key clinical systems; data loss or theft affecting patients, staff or finances;	12	9	$\leftrightarrow$	22/11/22
3.11	Executive Director for	reputational damage.  Business solutions in a single data centre	9	4	<b>↓</b>	22/11/22
	Digital & Transformation Finance & Investment	The Trust has an extensive amount of business solutions residing in a single data centre. Failure of that single data centre could result in a number of Trust IT systems becoming unavailable to staff, with the Trust having no direct control over the restoration of services.				
3.12	Director of Corporate Affairs & Co Sec Emergency Planning Group (sub-group to Executive Management Committee) and Audit Committee from 2022	Business continuity and emergency planning Failure to maintain adequate business continuity and emergency planning arrangements in order to sustain core functions and deliver safe and effective services during a wide-spread and sustained emergency or incident, for example a pandemic, could result in harm to patients, pressure on and harm to staff, reputational damage, regulator intervention.	12	9	$\leftrightarrow$	23/02/22
3.13	Executive Director for Digital & Transformation Finance & Investment	The Trust's impact on the environment  A failure to take reasonable steps to minimise the Trust's adverse impact on the environment, maintain and deliver a Green Plan, and maintain improvements in sustainability in line with national targets, the NHS Long Term Plan and 'For a Greener NHS' ambitions (30%, 50% and 80% reduction in emissions by 2023, 2025 and 2030 respectively, and net zero carbon by 2040), could lead to: a failure to meet Trust and System objectives, reputational damage, loss of contracts, contribution to increased pollution within the wider community, and loss of cost saving opportunities.	9	3	$\leftrightarrow$	22/11/22
3.14	Chief Finance Officer and Executive Director for Digital & Transformation Finance & Investment	Major Capital Projects Insufficient programme infrastructure (or project management) to resource delivery of major capital projects or to support a necessary control environment and adherence to governance and decision-making arrangements may lead to: poorly planned and ultimately compromised capital projects; inability to proceed with capital projects; failure of capital projects to complete or to deliver against preferred outcomes; and unplanned expenses, delays and wasted resources, effectively amounting to constructive losses.	16	6	$\leftrightarrow$	22/11/22
		n - Become a leader in healthcare research and education				
4.1	Chief Medical Officer Quality Committee	Failure to realise the Trust's Research and Development (R&D) potential  Failure to fully realise the Trust's academic and Research and Development (R&D) potential may adversely affect its reputation and lead to loss of opportunity.	6	3	$\leftrightarrow$	10/11/22

Risk rating matrix and scoring guidance appears at Appendix 1

### Strategic Objective 1: Deliver the best possible care outcomes

# 1.1: Triangulating data and learning to drive Quality Improvement

Date added to BAF	10 February 2022
Monitoring Committee	Quality Committee
Executive Lead	Chief Nurse
Date of last review	10/02/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	5	20
Current risk rating	4	3	12
Target risk rating	4	2	8
Target to be achieved by			

### **Risk Description:**

A failure to triangulate different sources of quality data and learning to inform and drive the Quality Improvement (QI) programme could result in patient harm, impaired outcomes, and/or poor patient experience.

[Formerly pre-10 February 2022: Failure to (i) meet quality standards for clinical care; (ii) continuously improve care quality and safety; and/or (iii) engage patients and carers in that care, could result in patient harm, impaired outcomes, and poor experience.]

W 0 1 1			
Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Use of TOBI (Trust Online	Level 1: reassurance	GAP (controls): embedding	QI activity as at Q1 FY23: 165
Business Intelligence) data	- QI Hubs meet monthly and	QI as part of Trust culture	staff, service users and carers
from ward to Board level;	report into QI & Learning	still an ongoing process; and	had attended QI training
- Quality & Safety Dashboard;	Group to share progress and	appropriate resourcing	during Q1; and 106 QI
- Integrated Performance	learning across Hubs;	required to support and	projects underway (more
Report to Board;	- Monthly Directorate Quality	maintain the OHI Centre in	detailed QI reporting
- Oxford Healthcare	Groups;	order to support ambition to	provided into the Quality
Improvement (OHI) Centre;	- Weekly Safety Forums;	embed QI.	Committee in July 2022).
- Quality Improvement (QI)	- Complex Review panels.	ACTIONS: To sustain	
Hubs, supported by QI Hub	Level 2: internal	momentum and support	(1) Embed use of Quality
Programme Board and QI &	- Quality & Safety Dashboard	continuous and sustainable	Dashboard to identify areas
Learning Group;	regularly reported into	improvements a review of	for improvement and
- QI strategy implementation	Quality Committee;	OHI Centre resource and	prioritise QI workstreams;
plan as part of wider Trust QI	- Integrated Performance	capacity was undertaken	(2) continued roll out of QI
Strategy;	Report to Board;	during Q4 FY22 with an	Hubs and QI Hub Programme
- Clinical Audit team	- Quality Committee;	options appraisal presented	Board as vehicles to pick up
transferred to management	- Quality & Clinical	in Q1 FY23 to the Executive	learning;
under the Head of QI (since	Governance Sub-Committee;	to consider support and	(3) Engage & train frontline
Q1 FY23);	- Weekly Review Meeting	direction for QI going	staff in use QI methodology
- Weekly Review Meeting	(Clinical Standards);	forwards; options appraisal	to improve service concerns
triangulating incidents,	- Patient Safety Incident (PSI)	decision in progress.	raised through PSIs. Q1 FY23
complaints, deaths/inquests,	updates and review reports	OWNER(s): Head of QI; and	saw the launch of OHI Level 1
claims, CAS alerts etc;	at Quality Committee and	Chief Nurse	QI online training module for
- Mechanisms for feedback,	private Board;		staff, service users and carers
including 'I Want Great Care'	- Patient Experience/		to increase the spread of
surveys, PALS, complaints	Experience & Involvement		awareness of QI;
and patient stories, and	updates into Quality		(4) External review from peer
	Committee;		QI team to benchmark our

Trust-wide Experience &	- OHI Centre/QI updates into	progress and plan for the
Involvement Group;	Quality Committee;	future;
- Experience & Involvement	- Annual Quality Account.	(5) Complete targeted peer
Strategy;	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	reviews following findings of
- New framework for		Journey to Outstanding
incidents incl. safety huddles,		internal review self-
after action learning reviews	Level 3: independent	assessments;
and thematic reviews;	CQC Inspections;	(6) Continue to improve
- central monitoring of	- Patient/carer feedback, incl.	quality of and access to TOBI
progress of Patient Safety	'I Want Great Care' results;	data so areas for
Incident ( <b>PSI</b> ), complaints	- Quality Account signed off	improvement can be
and inquest actions;	by Local Authorities;	identified more easily
- Whistleblowing Policy &	- Annual National Community	OWNER: Chief Nurse.
Freedom to Speak Up	Mental Health Survey results;	
Guardian;	- Multi-agency review	
- Journey to Outstanding	processes e.g. Homicide	
internal review self-	Reviews, inquests, CDOP;	
assessments.	- performance against	
	national NHS Oversight	
	Framework indicators.	

### Strategic Objective 1: Deliver the best possible care outcomes

# 1.5: Unavailability of beds/demand and capacity (Mental Health inpatient and LD)

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	Quality Committee
Executive Lead	Executive Managing Director for Mental Health & Learning Disabilities
Date of last review	25/10/22
Risk movement	<b>↑</b>
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	5	20
Current risk rating	4	4	16
Target risk rating	4	2	8
Target to be achieved by			

#### **Risk Description:**

Unavailability of beds (across all mental health inpatient services, including Adult MH & LD, and CAMHS, PICU, ED & GAU) due to: demand outstripping capacity and/or absence of support services in the community to prevent admissions and/or facilitate prompt discharge, could lead to: (i) increase in Out of Area Placements (OAPs) further from home, (ii) inappropriate inpatient placements; (iii) patients being unable to access specialist care required to support recovery; (iv) patients and carers/families having a poor experience; and (v) services falling below reasonable public expectations.

Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Clinical oversight and review	Level 1: reassurance	Restricted capacity and	Board reviewed PICU project at its
of patients considered to be	- Directorate SMT	instances of long waits for	meeting in private in May 2022 and
in an inappropriate bed via	monitoring;	young people requiring	received assurance that
Clinical Directors;	- Provider Collaborative	CAMHS & Psychiatric	programme and project
- proactive management of	Single Point of Access	Intensive Care Unit (PICU)	governance strengthened.
flow and Out of Area	monitoring (weekly);	beds. PICU project paused	Monthly Programme Board now in
Placements (OAPs);	- weekly regional calls	in June 2021, subject to	place. New target for PICU scheme
- single point of access for	for CAMHS	external review December	to complete by 2023.
provider collaborative	Level 2: internal	2021, actions subject to	
network beds;	- Review of incidents,	further follow-up January-	
- robust CPA (Care	restraints, seclusions and	April 2022 (through	
Programme Approach)	inappropriate use of	Finance & Investment	
planning;	s.136 by Heads of	Committee, Audit	
- system partner calls to	Nursing and through	Committee and Board),	
improve discharge;	Weekly Review Meeting;	likely to miss target of	
- Roll out of Crisis Resolution,	escalation to OMT and	May 2022.	
Home Treatment, Early	Exec;		
Intervention, Intensive	- OAPs trajectory	Restricted capacity leading	Adult Eating Disorder (ED) service
Support and Hospital at Home	monitoring internally	to long waits for admission	to extend and develop Day Hospital
teams to prevent admission	through Directorate	to Adult ED units, resulting	and Hospital at Home offerings. In
and support earlier discharge;	OMT and Executive;	in patients with very low	March 2022 there was a surge in
- SOPs/processes in place for	- Integrated	BMIs being managed in	referrals to the Thames Valley T4
any Young Person in seclusion	Performance Report to	the community or acute	CAMHS Provider Collaborative
or Long Term Segregation,	Board (May 2022)	hospitals.	(TVPC), particularly for ED services
	highlighted that Acute		but as at May 2022 this had

including Clinical Director reviews;

- Transformation programme to improve flow and reduce length of stay.
- Initiation optimisation programmes for Oxfordshire Adult wards. This looks at the process from patient admission to discharge with a view to improving the average length of patient stay which will in turn increase capacity.

OAPs continued to be a challenge and the combined appropriate and inappropriate OAPs for April 2022 were higher than any month in the previous year. Following recent NHSE guidance the Trust has reviewed the use of OAPs and is assured that continuity of care principles adhered to.

#### Level 3: independent

NHSE reporting and monitoring of progress against OAPs trajectories.

South East Integrated Performance Report (06 May 2022):

- Trust Adult bed occupancy lowest in the region (averaging 87% compared to region average of 96.1%);
- Older Adult bed occupancy amongst highest in the region (averaging 92% compared to region average of 89.3%);
- PICU bed occupancy amongst lowest in region (averaging 64% compared to region average 78.1%)

National reduction in Assessment & Treatment Unit (ATU) beds and estate does not enable support for individuals with LD or autism requiring reasonable adjustments or a single person placement.

Reduced bed capacity as a result of Infection
Prevention Control (IPC) guidance; up to 15% less capacity in the Adult and Older Adult Mental Health wards. The interim closure of beds has resulted in additional OAPs which have been mitigated by purchasing block contract beds.

settled. There was a similar increase in other South East areas; the TVPC achieved the biggest reduction in pre-admission demand between March-May. The TVPC established the Hospital at Home ED (H@H ED) pilot with views to reducing the need for T4 admission for ED treatment. As at May 2022, pilot has been successful and the H@H ED is expanding and will recruit further nurses.

Business plans for revenue and capital has commenced.
LD services to continue to provide specialist LD support to mainstream mental health wards to facilitate reasonable adjustments. OWNER: Executive MD for Mental Health & Learning Disabilities

Work with partners within place and at BOB level to secure a specialist LD/autism beds and local crash pads;

OWNER: Executive MD for Mental Health & Learning Disabilities; Target date: March 2022

In April 2022, changes to IPC guidance have allowed the facilitation of patients who have completed their 14 day period of isolation and are COVID negative to be repatriated to vacant Trust beds, therefore, maximising bed capacity and reducing the need to purchase further inappropriate OAP.

### Strategic Objective 1: Deliver the best possible care outcomes

# 1.6: Demand and capacity (Community Oxfordshire)

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	Quality Committee
Executive Lead	Executive MD for Primary Care and Community
Date of last review	May 2022
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November/December 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent)	4	5	20
risk rating			
Current risk	4	4	16
rating			
Tating			
Target risk rating	4	3	12
Target to be			
achieved by			

#### **Risk Description:**

Risk that the **population's continuously changing need for service exceeds** the Trust's **capability and capacity** to respond in a timely way. Where there are instances of **demand outstripping supply**, there is a risk that waitlists will grow, quality and safety of care will be compromised, the needs of the service users could be insufficiently met and this will lead to poorer health outcomes and experiences.

This risk materialises from a number of factors that include changes in population characteristics and demographics, staffing and workforce challenges, service accessibility and user demand patterns, staffing and workforce challenges, legal and regulatory requirements, health and care system configuration, commissioning priorities (under commissioning and/or under investment), financial constraints, barriers to innovation and the need to respond to unexpected health emergencies (e.g. pandemic).

Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- A demand and capacity App	Level 1: reassurance	The Trust is lead provider	The Trust has been developing
has been developed within the	Board Seminar on 20	for 3 Provider	the Provider Collaboratives from
Trust's Online Business	October 2021 received	Collaboratives: Adult	shadow form into live
Intelligence System (TOBI). This	an update on 'Demand	secure services (For Me);	operations. A Provider
helps operational services to	and Capacity – findings	CAMHS Inpatient services;	Collaborative Group has been
visualise patient demand based	from the work so far'	and Adult Eating Disorder	setup for each service area and
on previous activity and enables	Level 2: internal	services. There is a risk	regularly meets; regular
services to forecast their	- Integrated	that contract management	reporting on the Provider
response based on workforce	Performance Report to	arrangements/information	Collaboratives is also provided
available.	the Board (standing	is not sufficient both	into the Quality Committee.
- Demand and Capacity	item) includes reporting	during the shadow period	
Management - the Trust has	on performance against	and after the go-live. Risk	
invested and now deployed a	waiting times targets,	of under-reporting patient	
system for the management and	inpatient admission and	activity highlighted to the	
rostering of staff. This enables	length of stay	Finance & Investment	
operational managers to plan	Level 3: independent	Committee in January	
shift patterns and to identify and		2022.	
resolve gaps in staffing.			As at end of May 2022, the Trust
		Ongoing development of	and Oxford University Hospitals
- The Trust is required to report		new Oxfordshire NHS	NHS FT (OUH) have signed a
activity to commissioners as part		Provider Collaborative for	Memorandum of Understanding
of a regular contract		Integrated Care.	(MoU) to support closer working
management process. Based on			for Oxfordshire patients and

the output of these meetings, commissioners will use the information gathered to inform priority and investment decisions.

- Recovery & Surge Planning: The Trust has set up a specific group to look at a co-ordinated approach to the recovery from COVID-19.
- Contract oversight group for Provider Collaboratives

communities. The MoU identifies urgent care and end of life care as early priorities for collaboration. MoU reviewed and supported by Trust Board in March 2022 and also approved by OUH Board. MoU is not legally binding and both organisations will continue to operate within current governance frameworks.

The Trust does not have sufficient information about the demand on services or its capacity to respond

One of the consequences/impact of insufficient capacity to meet demand will be on patient waiting lists. Although progress has been made to visualise waiting lists, the Trust has not set clinical targets across all service lines for waiting lists. The Trust should review each service line and set a target for when patients should be seen by urgency/priority. Performance can then be reported/planned based on the standards agreed. As at May 2022, the Trust was taking part in a southeast region collaboration to benchmark waiting times and share learning on management strategies.

The Trust has insufficient visibility of the demand for services and capacity to respond.

Further to the action above, the Trust has developed an online training course to accompany the demand and capacity App. This is being rolled out to all Operational Managers and will help them to better manage their services

The Workforce
Management System has
not been rolled out across
the Trust. Therefore,
there is inconsistency and
potential risk of
under/overstaffing

The work to complete the rollout of the workforce management system should be completed ASAP.

In addition to the standard demand and capacity pressures for services, COVID has placed an additional risk that services will become overwhelmed. This is a combined effect of

As at March 2022, the system remained highly challenged from a demand and capacity perspective. Services, especially community hospitals, Urgent Community Response, District Nurses, and Community Therapy staff continued to work hard to

patients not presenting during the crisis through fear of contracting COVID and also those that have suffered psychological effects of either responding to (as a staff member) and/or as a patient (AKA long COVID).

support system flow and ensure patients were cared for as close to home as possible. Pressure on primary and community care services across Oxfordshire continued to be impacted due to steady increases in COVID-19 related staff absences. The Community Services Directorate led a successful system day aimed to prevent conveyance to hospital and instead maintain patients safely at home.

As at May 2022, risks with sustaining the Out-Of-Hours GP Service at peak times due to high demand and ongoing workforce and rota challenges. Additional management support being put in place to respond to identified issues, with support from HR team on rota issues.

Insufficient funding from commissioner contracts. (including specialised services)

There are a number of services that have already been identified as being under-commissioned. Action has already been taken over the past 18 months via a demand and capacity project to identify areas of under-commissioning within services and reports are being submitted to commissioners. This demand and capacity project work will continue and the output is being used for business planning and risk management.

As discussed at Audit Committee in May 2022, there are examples of good risk management in many services, but a recent review indicates a more systematic and data driven QI approach would be of benefit. There may also be a need for more protected resource and expertise to enable root cause analysis of capacity gaps and targeted work on monitoring the impacts of waiting times on

Strategic developments over 2022-23 will focus on optimising capacity and efficiency (e.g. Provider Collaborative and Oxfordshire integrated improvement programmes).

	patients in certain	
	services.	

# Strategic Objective 2: Be a great place to work

# 2.1: Workforce planning

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	People Leadership and Culture Committee
Executive Lead	Chief People Officer
Date of last review	October 2022
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	January 2023

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	5	4	20
Current risk rating	4	4	16
Target risk rating	3	3	9
Target to be achieved by			

### **Risk Description:**

Insufficient or ineffective planning for current and future workforce requirements (including number of staff, skill-mix and training) may lead to: impaired ability to deliver the quantity of healthcare services to the required standards of quality; and inability to achieve the business plan and strategic objectives

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- E-Rostering Governance	Level 1: reassurance	Lack of Workforce Planning	As at December 2022, Head
Group to progress the	- E-Rostering Governance	capability and capacity has	of Workforce Planning &
movement of the Trust	Group	been identified.	Efficiencies role (reporting to
through NHSI/E E-Rostering	- Workforce Performance		the Director of Clinical
attainment levels which	review (monthly)		Workforce Transformation
supports short term			and accountable to the Chief
management and review of	Level 2: internal		Nurse) being recruited to.
workforce.	- People Leadership and		
- Weekly Review Meeting led	Culture Committee		HR priorities defined until
by Nursing and Clinical	Workforce Report;		the end of FY23/4 which will
Governance reviewing	- Safe Staffing reporting via		form the HR People Plan, as
staffing levels and incidents	Quality dashboard into		agreed at the People,
- BOB ICS 'People'	Quality Committee;		Leadership & Culture
workstream has focus on	- Weekly Review Meeting led		Committee on the 7 July
system wide workforce	by Nursing and Clinical		2022. Three cross cutting
planning capability and	Governance reviewing		themes of work to address
capacity	staffing levels and		the most pressing
	incidents.		priorities: upskilling line
	Level 3: independent		managers to lead teams and
			increase engagement; a
			focus on new joiners to
			support attraction and
			retention; and strengthening
			data and systems to free up
			clinicians' time.
			The Learning C Development
			The Learning & Development
			and HR teams integrated
			from 01 April 2022 which will

provide opportunities for developing a more integrated approach to leadership, workforce planning, career development, OD and systems.

Workforce Planning capability to be added to HR team. A piece of work has been undertaken to map out the workforce requirements for next 5-7 years, this will support future workforce planning decisions. This workforce tool will take into account current committed workforce education programmes such as nurse associate training, top up degrees and advanced clinical practice. Owner: Chief People Officer

Detailed plans to be put in place once Workforce Planning resource is in place. However, the Improving Quality and Reducing Agency Programme already has several workstreams which aim to improve the quality of services whilst reducing agency spend. One of the workstreams, Retention, will focus on improving retention which will be supported by the new HR Structure with a greater emphasis on organisational development, culture, development and succession planning. Work is also in progress to review the budgeted establishments across inpatient units this is likely to result in an increase in vacancies.

Owner: Chief People Officer

Annual Planning Process started as at September 2022 (with the Executive Director of Strategy & Partnerships) and aiming to integrate Financial Planning,

	Workforce Planning and
	Activity Planning in a single
	comprehensive approach.
	Initial reporting into the
	Board planned for January-
	March 2023.

# Strategic Objective 2: Be a great place to work

### 2.2: Recruitment

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	People Leadership and Culture Committee
Executive Lead	Chief People Officer
Date of last review	October 2022
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	January 2023

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	4	16
Current risk rating	4	4	16
Target risk rating	3	3	9
Target to be achieved by			

### **Risk Description:**

A failure to recruit to vacancies could lead to: the quality and quantity of healthcare being impaired; pressure on existing staff and decreased resilience, health & wellbeing and staff morale; over-reliance on agency staffing at high cost/premiums and potential impairment in service quality; and loss of the Trust's reputation as an employer of choice.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Director of Clinical	Level 1: reassurance	Dealing with national and	Additional HR resource to
Workforce Transformation to	- weekly reporting of vacancy	local recruitment challenges,	support recruitment.
lead quality improvement,	levels and fill rates to SMT	(including: possibility of	Recruitment Campaign
aim to reduce agency costs	and the Service Directors;	higher turnover due to	Consultants started in post in
and support recruitment and	- reporting on inpatient safe	health & wellbeing post	January 2022 to focus on
retention workstreams, as	staffing levels to SMT and	Covid-19; lack of LD nurse	proactive recruitment in
well as develop bids for	Weekly Review Meeting	training places in the local	hotspot areas. A clear
funding (for e.g. international	(Clinical	area; high costs of living).	process has been agreed
recruitment);	Standards);		following the successful
- Improving Quality, Reducing	- integrated activity plan	Increase in the number of	landing of international
Agency Programme Board;	managed daily and reviewed	acting up/secondment roles	nurses to reduce reliance on
- the development of an	weekly by HR and reviewed	in order to cover vacancies -	agency workforce. The
overarching recruitment plan	by Operations SMT monthly;	leads to chains of staff acting	Recruitment Campaigns
for each service to address	- Monthly review of	up and additional staffing	Team continue to manage
areas of candidate attraction	recruitment activity by HR	gaps being created.	proactive recruitment
and retention;	SMT.		campaigns for areas of high
- collaboration with other	Level 2: internal	Impact upon HR of increased	vacancy and agency spend.
local NHS Trusts to	- Improving Quality, Reducing	candidate pipelines due to	Trust-wide campaigns
understand the overall	Agency Programme Board	the number of vacancies at	include: Return to Practice
employment marketplace	- Reports to Extended	any one time - HR resourcing	for Nurses and Allied Health
and take joint pre-emptive	Executive (monthly);	required in order to take	Professionals; and
action where possible,	- People Leadership and	forward change activities and	University/Student
including collaboration with	Culture Committee		recruitment.

# OUH on recruiting from Brookes University;

- proactive virtual career events at universities, recruitment fairs and for attracting those new to health and care services
- Apprenticeship Programme, career development pathway for HCAs, 'grow your own' model.

(quarterly) received workforce report, oversees 'improving quality, reducing agency' item and receives, as standing items, updates on agency use, recruitment & retention and workforce transformation projects, bids and workstreams;

- Agency as % total temporary staffing 13.2% against target of 7.9% as at December 2022, compared to 9.5% against target <8.5% as at October 2022

#### Level 3: independent

support the recruitment process.

As at October 2022, the Improving Quality and Reducing Agency Programme has several workstreams which aim to improve the quality of services whilst reducing agency spend:

- the recruitment workstream is developing a project around student nurse recruitment;
- the agency management workstream has sent out the specifications for the Guaranteed Volume Contract to agencies and the Project Initiation Document for the Agency Master Vendor contract (excluding Medics) has been completed;
- the medical staffing workstream is reviewing the use of long line agency medics and recruitment activity; and
- the Trust is moving to the NHS Professionals outsourced model for staff bank provision from January 2023.

**OWNER: Chief People Officer** 

### Strategic Objective 2: Be a great place to work

# 2.3: Succession planning, organisational development and leadership development

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	People Leadership and
	Culture Committee
Executive Lead	Chief People Officer
Date of last review	18/11/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	January 2023

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	4	16
Current risk rating	3	4	12
Target risk rating	2	2	4
Target to be achieved by			

#### **Risk Description:**

Failure to maintain a coherent and co-ordinated structure and approach to succession planning, organisational development and leadership development may jeopardise: the development of robust clinical and non-clinical leadership to support service delivery and change; the Trust becoming a clinically-led organisation; staff being supported in their career development and to maintain competencies and training attendance; staff retention; and the Trust being a "well-led" organisation under the CQC domain

		<u> </u>	
Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- service model review and	Level 1: reassurance	GAP (assurance – recording	New PDR process was agreed
modifications of pathways		of PDRs, mandatory training	at the Executive
across Operations (cross-	Level 2: internal	and supervision on new	Management Committee in
reference to 1.2 and the risk	- People, Leadership &	Online Training Record	September 2022 and the
against failure to deliver	Culture Committee;	(OTR)): PDR compliance	new PDR form was launched
integrated	- Use of annual staff survey	reduced to 34% as at	on 01 November 2022 across
care);	to measure progress and	February 2022, then down to	the Trust. The Trust is now
- completed restructuring of	perception of leadership	32% in March, 28% in April	driving to compliance with a
Operations Directorates to	development;	and 29% in May 2022. Some	clear message that staff who
provide for development of	and	low compliance may be an	have had a PDR within the
clinical leadership and for a	- staff appraisals;.	issue of lack of recording,	last 12 months need to
social care lead in each	- OKRs/performance	rather than lack of	record it, or if one has not
directorate;	indicators December and	undertaking, on the new	yet taken place then it needs
- "planning the future"	October 2022 and looking	OTR; and PDRs also not seen	to be booked in. This is to
programme and ongoing	back into 2022:	as a priority during COVID-	drive PDR compliance as the
Aston Team Working	- PDR compliance 41.4% in	19. Other factors - a review	Trust is currently only
programme;	December, improved from	of training matrices,	reporting 25% compliance as
- effective team-based	<b>28.9%</b> in October, from <b>29%</b>	renewable training courses	at November 2022.
working training in place	in August and May, 28% in	for previous once only	
with	April, down from 32% in	courses and the introduction	
L&D	March, down from 34% in	of the new OTR system. The	As at September 2022, work
- multi-disciplinary leadership	February (target >95%).	L&D team will continue to	has been completed by the
trios within clinical	- Clinical supervisions 61% in	monitor the new system and	HR System and Reporting
directorates to support and	December, 46% in October,	revise the training matrices	team to correct errors in
develop clinical	down from 48% in August,	for the small number of	data as well as a full review
leadership;	<b>53.6%</b> in May, <b>31%</b> in April,	teams that are still	of mandatory training
- the Organisational and	30% in March and 34% in	outstanding and work with	provision. The true
Leadership Development	February (target >95%)	teams and areas where	

Strategy Framework
(approved by the Board,
October 2014) - aims to
maximise effectiveness of
staff at every level of the
Trust by coordinating a range
of activities which will
promote their ability to
deliver high quality services
and patient care and by
ensuring that structures are
in place to enable their
effective
delivery;

- individual professional review and development through development of individual professional leadership strategies e.g. Nursing Strategy (updates provided into the Quality Committee, most recently in July 2020);
- Masters' framework offering clinically relevant development opportunities for registered professionals;
- Inspire Network (replaced Linking Leaders conferences) event focused on Organisational Development on 10 March 2022 and considered Staff Survey results; and
- Trainee Leadership Board currently being reviewed as part of the wider look into Leadership

- mandatory training performance up to 83.8% in December, up from 81.6% in October, down from 84% in August but heading in the right trajectory from 78% in May, 73% in April and 66% compliance in January 2022 but still below target (target >95%).

### Level 3: independent

- CQC reviews - a rating of "good" was achieved in the Well Led domain in 2015 CQC inspection.

compliance is particularly low. The priority for the next period will be to agree a plan on how mandatory training rates are to be increased, with an assessment of the barriers in relation to implementation so that these can be removed.

GAP (controls - application of Strategy Framework): coherent Trust-wide learning from existing leadership development projects. Localised good performance and good practice may not be picked up across the Trust. Although it may not always be necessary or appropriate for all Trust-wide learning in this area to be consistent, as opposed to tailored to meet specific leadership development requirements, it should be more coherent and delivered with more purpose. Unwarranted variation without justification may be a gap rather than variation itself.

GAP (controls - individual professional review and development): co-ordinated direction of career pathways to steer staff to gain wider experiences. Note also links to Gap at 2.1 above re staff and career development.

compliance picture based on the revised definition of Statutory & Mandatory training will only be known once this work is complete.

As at November 2022, Organisational Development (OD) Team now embedded into the People/HR team and continues to build relationships across the Trust.

The Learning & Development (L&D) and HR teams integrated from 01 April 2022 which will provide opportunities for developing a more integrated approach to leadership, workforce planning, career development, OD and systems. Merger also provides for the expertise from the HR Workforce systems teams to be applied to the L&D recording system.

OD Club has 70+members across the Trust and OD presents on corporate induction as well as ongoing engagement with front lines teams as part of the commitment to ensuring 'everyone having a voice that counts' for the 2022 Staff Survey.

ACTION: development of individual professional leadership strategies. Nursing Strategy developed and launched in November 2015 (and revised Nursing Strategy being developed in 2022/23). However, risk that may not be sufficient capacity to deliver Nursing

	Strategy in a timely way. Also, talent management
	dependent upon PDR system
	roll-out. New appraisal
	process and training delayed
	following feedback from
	Extended Executive. More
	recently appointment of
	Associate Director of Clinical
	Education and Nursing who
	will review progress against
	development and delivery of
	leadership pathways.
	OWNERS: Executive MD for
	Mental Health & Learning
	Disabilities; and Chief Nurse
CAD (as atuals). Faustitus and	ACTION: words of the Freezitte
GAP (controls): Equality and Diversity. National picture of	ACTION: work of the Equality & Diversity Lead. NHS
little progress having been	Workforce Race Equality
made in the past 20 years to	Standard reporting. Focus at
address the issue of	Board level. Ongoing work
discrimination (BAME and	with HR to develop routine
other groups including LGBT,	statistical analysis to identify
people with disabilities and	key areas for actions and
religious groups) in the NHS.	follow-up. Development
	of Quality Improvement Race
	Equality
	programme
	OWNER: Head of OD

# Strategic Objective 2: Be a great place to work

# 2.4: Developing and maintaining a culture in line with Trust values

Date added to BAF	19/01/21
Monitoring Committee	People Leadership and Culture Committee
Executive Lead:	Chief People Officer
Date of last review	18/11/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	January 2023

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	3	12
Current risk rating	3	3	9
Target risk rating	2	2	4
Target to be achieved by			

### **Risk Description:**

A failure to develop and maintain our culture in line with the Trust values and the NHS people promise which includes: being compassionate and inclusive, recognition and reward, having a voice that counts, **health, safety & wellbeing of staff, working flexibly,** supporting learning & development, promoting equality, diversity & inclusivity and fostering a team culture.

The absence of this could result in; harm to staff; an inability to recruit and retain staff; a workforce which does not reflect Trust and NHS values; and poorer service delivery.

Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- HR Policies & strategies,	Level 1: reassurance	Until 2022, no team/group	This work will be picked up
inlc. Workplace Stress	- Health and Wellbeing	focused on this work.	by the new OD function
Prevention & Response,	Group;		created as part of the HR
Equal Opportunities, Dignity	- Stress Steering Group;		department restructure.
at Work, Flexible Working,	- Learning Advisory Group		New Head of OD started in
Grievance and Sickness	(LAG) Group;	Need to improve staff	post January 2022. In March
policies;	- Equality & Diversity	experience and respond to	2022, the OD
- Freedom to Speak Up	Steering Group;	issues identified by Staff	Team facilitated
Guardian;	(all reporting to PLC	Survey results in order to	organisation-wide action on
- Health & Wellbeing	Committee quarterly);	improve retention.	the areas identified as
Strategy, groups, services	- H&S group	·	needing particular attention
and Intranet site& resources;	SEQOSH accredited		from the 2021 staff survey
- Employee Assistance	Level 2: internal	GAP (controls): further to	feedback: PDRs will be a
Programme;	- People, Leadership &	discussion at PLC on 03	Quality Improvement
- Occupational Health	Culture Committee	February 2022, having an	project; the Improving
Service;	(quarterly);	Estate that is fit for purpose	Quality Reducing Agency
- Equality, Diversity and	- Quarterly People Pulse	for staff returning to work	(IQRA) Board is putting
Inclusion team, plans,	checks (measures of staff	having Worked At Home	measures in place to support
training and groups, Staff	engagement)	during the pandemic and	teams capacity; and a
Equality Networks;	,	providing sufficient flexible	Flexible Working Project
- Health & Safety Policies,	Level 3: external	working arrangements to	Change Team is in place
and H&S Team;	- National Staff Survey	prevent reliance on the	reporting into the IQRA
- Zero-Tolerance of Violence	results;	Estate going forwards.	Retention Workstream. Staff
and Aggression to Staff	- External endorsement of	OWNER: Executive Director	Survey results also reported
Policy;	the Trust's wellbeing work	for Digital & Transformation	into the Board in public in
- Training, supervision and	via take-up of Trust's model		May 2022. In June 2022, the
Performance and	through BOB ICS.		OD team commenced a
Development Review (PDR)			review of workplace culture;
processes;			the discovery phase of the
- Communications bulletins &			culture programme was
intranet resources and news.			reported into the PLC on 07
			July 2022 and the next phase
			will take place over July-
			September 2022. This work
			was paused due to the
			system outage and will be
			restarted in the January
			2023.
			Owner: Chief People Officer
			Promotion and embedding of
			a "wellness culture"
			including: Team and manager
			focus on H&W support;
			wellbeing conversations (July
			2021);
			Embedding Restorative Just
			Culture model (August 2021);
			Embedding Civility & respect
			model (July 2021);

	Mental Health First Aid
	training for managers –
	(August 2021);
	Enabling safe spaces and
	confidential support to all
	staff.
	Kindness into Action (part of
	the Civility & Respect
	Culture) launched in
	November 2022.
	OWNER: Chief People Officer
	& Head of Health &
	Wellbeing
	_
	Development of Quality
	Improvement (QI) Equality
	Diversity & Inclusion (EDI)
	programmes around Race
	Equality (based on feedback
	from the Workforce Race
	Equality Standard (WRES)).
	The key workstreams are
	1 – Increasing workforce
	diversity
	2 – De-biasing the
	disciplinary process
	3 – Improving equal
	opportunities in career
	development and
	progression

# Strategic Objective 2: Be a great place to work

# 2.5: Retention of staff

Date added to BAF	May 2021
Monitoring Committee	People Leadership and
	Culture Committee
Executive Lead	Chief People Officer
Date of last review	18/11/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	January 2023

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	4	16
Current risk rating	4	3	12
Target risk rating	3	3	9
Target to be achieved by			

### **Risk Description:**

A failure to retain permanent staff could lead to: the quality of healthcare being impaired; pressure on staff and decreased resilience, health & wellbeing and staff morale; over-reliance on agency staffing at high cost/premiums and potential impairment in service quality; and loss of the Trust's reputation as an employer of choice.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Director of Clinical	Level 1: reassurance	High vacancy numbers,	As at October 2022, the
Workforce Transformation to	- Quarterly review of leavers	challenges recruiting to	turnover rate continues to
lead quality improvement,	exit interview data by HR	vacancies, and demands of	climb as the cost of living
aim to reduce agency costs	SMT.	recruitment upon	crisis and the
and support recruitment and	Level 2: internal	operational management of	below inflation pay offer
retention workstreams;	- Reports to Extended	recruitment can have	impacts staff retention
- career development	Executive (monthly);	negative impact on	(especially in the
pathway for	- Reports to People	experience of existing staff.	lower bands and with wages
HCAs;	Leadership and Culture		on offer in other sectors).
- Learning from Exit	Committee (quarterly);		
Questionnaires/Interviews;	- Performance data		As at October 2022, the
- Health & Wellbeing,	December and October 2022		Improving Quality Reducing
Equality, Diversity and	and looking back into 2022:		Agency (IQRA) work
Inclusivity, and Occupational	- Turnover 16.2% in		programme will focus on: the
Health strategies, groups,	December, up from 15.9% in		Retire and Return Quality
services and initiatives;	October, up from 14.9% in		Improvement (QI) project to
- Freedom to Speak Up	August, 14.5% in May and		ensure that the Trust
Guardians;	13.3% in February 2022		continues to retain our most
- Training, supervision and	(target <10%);		experienced staff; Personal
Performance and	- reduction in Vacancies		Development Review (PDR)
Development Review (PDR)	12.2% in December and	Need to improve staff	QI project; onboarding QI
processes;	October, down from 13.5% in	experience and respond to	project; and Career
	August, up from 11.4% in	issues identified by Staff	Conversations QI project.
	May and 8.6% in February	Survey results to improve	
	2022 (target <9%); and	retention.	As at November 2022, PDR
	- Quarterly People Pulse		processes had been
	checks (measures of staff		redesigned with a focus on
	engagement)		Wellbeing, Flexible working
			and career development to
	Level 3: independent		

 National Staff Survey results (annual process)

- National – BOB ICS recognition for R&R with Enhanced Occupational Health & Wellbeing Pilot Regionally - H&W key group member of R&R planning and new national resource. ensure people have the best experience at work.
The Career Conversations QI group is working on setting up the process for staff to have in depth career conversations and 'stay' conversations with people who may be looking to leave for career development or looking for better work life balance

New Starter Experience QI group is looking to ensure new starters have the best experience in the first 6 months to mitigate the risk posed by people leaving within their first 12 months. A questionnaire has been developed to check in with new starters so improvements can be made quickly to improve new starter experience.

Staff Survey 2022
engagement plan included
the Organisational
Development team looking
to visit as many teams across
the Trust to have direct
conversations to drive
engagement. As at
November 2022, 40 teams
had been visited and
engagement had been
positive.

Pressure from cost of living increases likely to be a theme for staff over 2022-23.

Separate Cost of Living risk at an operational level on the Trust Risk Register at TRR 1156. Some action to reward staff with: one off payments; covering cost of Blue Light discount cards; and temporary uplifts in mileage rates and additional annual leave. However, more to do on financial wellbeing into autumn/winter 2022/23 with particular focus on supporting staff with fuel costs, including working with local partners

	to support staff given the Trust's wide geographical spread.
	See also linked risk 2.2 for actions relating to recruitment.

### Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

# 3.1: Failure of the Trust to: (i) engage in shared planning and decision-making at system and place level; and (ii) work collaboratively with partners to deliver and transform services at place and system-level

[Formerly - Failure of the Health and Social Care Place Based, Integrated Care Systems and Provider Collaboratives to work together]

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
	Refocused and revised in
	July 2022
Monitoring Committee	Quality Committee
Executive Lead	Executive Director of
	Strategy & Partnerships
Date of last review	30/09/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent)	5	5	25
risk rating			
Current risk	4	3	12
rating			
Target risk rating	3	3	9
Target to be		1	
achieved by			

#### **Risk Description:**

Failure of the Trust to: (i) engage in shared planning and decision-making at system and place level; and (ii) work collaboratively with partners to deliver and transform services at place and system-level. Such failure would lead to ineffective planning and unsuccessful delivery and transformation of services in the Systems we operate in, and in turn impact both the sustainability and quality of healthcare provision in these Systems and in the Trust.

[Formerly - Failure of the Health and Social Care Place Based, Integrated Care Systems and Provider Collaboratives in which we work to act together to deliver Transformation, the Long-Term Plan, integrated care, maintain financial equilibrium and share risk responsibly may impact adversely on the operations of the Trust and compromise service delivery.]

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Active participation in	Level 1: reassurance	Absence of system-wide data	Work ongoing to understand
shaping emerging BOB and	- Reporting through	sets and aligned reporting.	data and identify reporting
place-levels governance;	Directorate SMTs and OMT.		inconsistencies, through the
- Provider Collaboratives			work of the Executive
arrangement in Mental	Level 2: internal		Director for Digital &
Health and Community	- Reporting through:		Transformation
Health;	Executive Management		
- Joint work / operational	Committee; and		Working with place based
processes with local	Trust Board.	ICS and Place level	and local partners to ensure
authorities and other		governance emerging.	place and system governance
partners including PCNs;	Level 3: independent		OWNER: Executive Managing
- Development of alliances	- ICS-level and place-level		Directors, Executive Director
and partnerships with other	emerging governance for		of Strategy & Partnerships
organisations, including the	Mental Health, Learning		and Chief Executive
voluntary sector, to deliver	Disability and Autism (MH,		
services into the future e.g.	LD&A) and Community		Ensuring engagement in
Oxfordshire Mental Health		Financial pressure on ICSs,	funding dialogue with ICSs
Partnership;		County Councils and Social	

- Exec to Exec engagement with partner organisations; - CEO membership in the Integrated Care Board; - new Executive Director role of Executive Director of Strategy & Partnerships from April 2022.	<ul> <li>Partnership and Alliance arrangements with other organisations, including the voluntary sector;</li> <li>MH, LD&amp;A Delivery Board;</li> <li>Provider Collaborative Governance</li> </ul>	Care impacting adversely on required MH & LD investment.	for system clinical and financial planning. OWNER: Chief Finance Officer, Executive Director of Strategy & Partnerships and Executive Managing Directors
		Lack of internal resources to support systematic partnership work beyond executive-level engagement.	As at end of September 2022, first tranche of resourcing focused on strategy approach. Next need to focus on working with Voluntary, Community and Social Enterprise (VCSE) partners to identify resources required to support partnership work and review internally via Executive Management Committee.  OWNER: Executive Director of Strategy and Partnerships

### Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

# **3.2:** Governance of external partners [RISK UNDER REVIEW]

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	Quality Committee
Executive Lead	Executive Director of
	Strategy & Partnerships
Date of last review	30/09/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	4	16
Current risk rating	3	3	9
Target risk rating	3	3	9
Target to be achieved by	At target le	evel	

#### **Risk Description:**

[RISK UNDER REVIEW – may need to refocus upon sub-contract management or the contractual aspects of partnerships sitting with Finance, whilst 3.1 focuses on Partnerships]

Failure to manage governance of external partners effectively, could: compromise service delivery and stakeholder engagement; lead to poor oversight of risks, challenges and relative quality amongst partners; and put at risk the Trust's integrity, reputation and accountability to its stakeholders and credibility as a system leader and partner of choice.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Trust maintains a central	Level 1: reassurance	GAP (Assurances) – lack of	Director of Strategy &
register of all partnerships;	- Partnership Management	reporting on partnerships	Partnerships now in post
- Central coordination of	Group	activity. Formerly	from April 2022.
partnership arrangements by	Level 2: internal	partnerships updates were	
Business Services Team;	- Future reporting to Quality	provided to the Board (in	
- Development and use of	Committee;	private) (most recently in July	
Trust Partnership Standard;	- JMG reports to Quality	2020) but the Board	
- Partnership Risk	Committee (quarterly).	determined that future	
Assessments (for existing	Level 3: independent	reporting should go into the	
partners) undertaken in 2019	- PWC Audit of partnership	Quality Committee and this	
and risk-assessment process	working in May 2019. Key	has yet to be established	
in place for new	recommendations of the	with regularity.	
partnerships;	audit have been completed;		
- Section 75 agreements in	- quality assurance peer-to-	Identified via internal	COMPLETED ACTIONS:
place for Oxfordshire and	peer reviews within Oxford	partnerships review (2017)	Partnership standard
Buckinghamshire, with	Mental Health Partnership.	and PWC audit (May 2019):	developed and in use; risk
monitoring and collaboration		No partnership standard;	assessment process for
through Section 75 Joint		No single point of ownership	partnership working
Management Groups (JMGs);		for partnerships within the	implemented; central
- new Executive Director role		Trust; Lack of distinction	coordination of partnership
of Director of Strategy &		between partnership and	arrangements now sits with
Partnerships from April 2022.		sub-contracts; No overall	Business Services Team.
		register of partnership	
		arrangements within the	ONGOING ACTIONS:
		Trust; No performance	(1) Development and use of
		monitoring arrangements in	performance related action
			logs to monitor progress of

	place with partners or	partnerships; work is ongoing
	subcontractors.	in Business Services to
		support Operational Services
		with contract management
		oversight; (2) Business
		Services Team currently
		working with Operational
		Services to put in place new
		or varied sub-contracts.
	New process for partnership	Continue monitoring of
	management is not well	adequacy of partnership
	tested as only one new	governance via Business
	partnership has been	Services Team and reporting
	entered into since	to Quality Committee & the
	implementation of new	Board.
	processes.	

### Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

# 3.4: Delivery of the financial plan and maintaining financial sustainability

Date added to BAF	11/01/21
Monitoring Committee	Finance and Investment
	Committee
Executive Lead	Chief Finance Officer
Date of last review	17/11/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	5	5	25
Current risk rating	4	4	16
Target risk rating	4	3	12
Target to be achieved by	[tbc for FY2	23]	

#### **Risk Description:**

Failure to deliver financial plan and maintain financial sustainability, including, but not limited to: through non-delivery of CIP savings; budget overspends; under-funding and constraints of block contracts in the context of increasing levels of activity and demand, could lead to: an inability to deliver core services and health outcomes; financial deficit; intervention by NHS Improvement; and insufficient cash to fund future capital programmes.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Annual Financial Plan and	Level 1: reassurance		FY23 Budget Setting and
Budget produced, and	-Weekly finance team		Annual Plan update delivered
approved by FIC and the	meeting;		to the FIC on 22 March 2022
Board;	- Monthly finance review		and the Board in private on
- Standing Financial	meetings with directorates;		30 March 2022 (further to
Instructions;	- Capital Programme Sub-		FIC review in January 2022 of
- Budgetary Control Policy	Committee (monthly)		performance against FY22
(CORP03);	- daily cash balance reports		Plan and review of capacity
- Procurement Policy	to DoF, and weekly and		to manage aggregate
(CORP04) and Procurement	monthly cash-flow reports.	Underfunding of Oxon	financial risk, including
Procedure Manual;	Level 2: internal	community services contract	utilisation of reserves and
- Investment Policy	- Strategic Delivery Group;		risks and opportunities not
(CORP10);	- Finance and Investment		included in the current
- Treasury Management	Committee (every 2 months);		forecast).
Policy (CORP09);	- Monthly Finance, including		
- Counter Fraud Policy	CIP, reporting to the Board to		Update on the Long Term
(CORP11);	provide assurance on		Financial Plan to the private
- Robust cash management	progress and recovery		Board workshop on 15
arrangements;	actions.		December 2021. Included
<ul> <li>Active management of</li> </ul>			FY22 plan update, FY23
Capital Programme;	September 2022 (Month 6):		outline plan, 5 year plans and
- Regular reporting on	- EBITDA performance £0.2m		discussion of key
Financial position and impact	deficit (£1.3m adverse to		deliverables.
of wider financial system	plan for the Month but		
risks to FIC and Board;	£0.4m favourable to plan for	Uncertainty around NHS	(a) Community Services
- Monthly reporting to, and	the Year to date)	financial regime from	Strategy to be completed,
monitoring by, NHSE.	- I&E performance £1.4m	October 2021 onwards	followed by (b) costs
	deficit (£1.2m adverse to		analysis, and (c) structured
	plan for the Month but		discussions about funding

£0.5m favourable to plan for the Year to date)

- CIP/PIP £0.3m (£0.4m adverse to plan for the Month and £2.3m adverse to plan for the Year to date)
- Cash decrease £7.9m (but £6.6m favourable to plan for the Year to date)

Year to date:

- EBITDA performance £6.6m surplus (£0.4m favourable to plan)
- I&E performance £0.9m deficit (£0.5m favourable to plan)
- CIP/PIP **£1.6m** (£2.3m adverse to plan)
- Cash £75.2m (£6.6m favourable to plan)

#### Level 3: independent

- Internal Audit review,
- External Audit supported financial statements for FY 20/21 and Going Concern Statement
- Financial Plan submitted to NHSE;
- Monthly reporting to, and monitoring by, NHSE.

Agency spend – the Trust is an outlier in terms of agency usage and spend which puts pressure on ability to remain within budget gaps with Commissioners.
Update to the Board meeting in private on 09 June 2021.
OWNER: Director of Community & Primary Care Services, and Director of Finance.

Close attention paid to guidance issued by NHSE, involvement in NHSE and ICS planning meetings for latest updates, involvement in any consultation meetings on proposed financial regime, close monitoring of internal forecast for 2021-22 with clear assumptions around income.

OWNER: Chief Finance Officer

Work to be carried out to review financial controls and assurance around agency use and monitoring.

Owner: Chief Finance Officer

IQRA work programme, led by Matt Edwards, commenced to cover 7 workstreams aimed at addressing underlying drivers of agency use.

Owner: Chief Nurse

### Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

# 3.6: Governance and decision-making arrangements

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	Audit Committee
Executive Lead	Director of Corporate Affairs & Co Sec
Date of last review	January 2022
Risk movement	<b>↑</b>
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	4	16
Current risk rating	4	3	12
Target risk rating	2	2	4
Target to be achieved by			

#### **Risk Description:**

Failure to maintain and/or adhere to effective governance and decision making arrangements, and/or insufficient understanding of the complexities of a decision may lead to: poor oversight at Board level of risks and challenges; (clinical or organisational) strategic objectives not being established or achieved; actual or perceived disenfranchisement of some stakeholders (including members of the Board, Governors and/or Members) from key strategic decisions; or damage to the Trust's integrity, reputation and accountability.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Trust Constitution and	Level 1: reassurance	GAP (assurances and	Appropriate independent
Standing Orders for the		review/oversight): Note	expert, internal audit and/or
Board and Council	Level 2: internal	delays to Psychiatric	legal advice to be obtained to
(CORP01);	- Annual Governance	Intensive Care Unit ( <b>PICU</b> )	support decisions relating to
- Standing Financial	Statement;	project may suggest issues	significant transactions (e.g.
Instructions and Scheme of	- Strategic Objectives	with oversight mechanisms	as part of significant capital
Delegation;	approved by Board, with	or lack of understanding of	projects such as PICU build
- Integrated Governance	progress against objectives	complexities of project. Risk	and Warneford
Framework (IGF);	reported to Board	that there might be a lack of	redevelopment projects),
- Procurement Policy	Committees and Board;	specialist knowledge and/or	and decision makers to be
(CORP04) and Procurement	- Quality Committee, Finance	expertise amongst decision	fully sighted on such
Procedure Manual;	& Investment Committee,	makers in relation to a	independent advice. Current
Investment Policy (CORP10),	People, Leadership & Culture	significant decision or	risk rating increased in
Treasury Management Policy	Committee and Audit	transaction.	November 2021 to overall
(CORP09);	Committee review risks and		rating of 12, pending
- Trust Strategic Objectives	key governance issues;		assurance that gaps resolved.
and setting of key focus	- Escalation reports from the		Internal Audit (PwC) report
areas for achieving objectives	Sub Committees to Board		on PICU received and
(New Strategy approved April	Committees and on to Board;		reviewed by Audit
2021);	- Annual Report and reports		Committee, December 2021,
- Maintenance of key Trust	for Council of Governors to		with follow-up planned for
registers (e.g. declarations of	demonstrate engagement		January-February 2022.
interest, receipts of gifts);	with FT members.		OWNERS: Director of
- Processes for capturing	Level 3: independent		Corporate Affairs & Co Sec,
meeting minutes to log:	- Internal Audit review of		and Director of Finance.
consideration of discordant	governance arrangements;.		
views, discussion of risks, and	Internal Audit reviews have	GAP (controls): systemic	Being discussed and explored
decisions;	included reviews of Quality	tendency towards short-	through Audit Committee
	Strategy & Governance, the	termism and not looking	workshops on 01 and 08

- Revised Risk Management
   Strategy (May 2021);
- Board Assurance
   Framework;
- Trust Risk Register and local risk registers at directorate and departmental levels;
- Business continuity planning processes and emergency preparedness;
- Council of Governors (COG),
   COG Working Groups;
- Membership Involvement Group, Membership Development Strategy, and membership development responsibilities through the Communications function.

IGF, Clinical Audit, Electronic Health Record Programme Governance, the Research Governance Framework, Information Governance, the Board Assurance Framework, Risk and Quality Governance;

- Annual External Audit (including review of governance);
- Well Led governance review (PwC) completed, presented to the Board meeting in private in June 2017 and reported to Council of Governors in Sept 2017;
- Well Led inspection (CQC) March 2018.

ahead/peering around corners to see what could be coming. Not resolving longer term issues around operational performance management or taking a longer view of achievement of Strategy (rather than firefighting issues). Potential gap in governance structure and not yet being plugged by improved Integrated Performance Reporting to the Board in 2021/22 discussion in 2021/22 can still focus on way the data is presented rather than what it says in terms of issues or sub-optimal performance. Lack of Board discussion on long-term operational impact upon services of performance issues or risks may lead to lack of decision around whether or how to continue to run certain services. Risks could cycle and stall on risk registers.

February 2022, reviewing Risk Management and Internal Audit planning.

COG working groups paused for COVID-19 pandemic

COG working groups being reinstated. Scheduling started again for 2022, paused during 3<sup>rd</sup> wave of COVID-19.

OWNER: Director of Corporate Affairs & Co Sec. TARGET: March 2022

### Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

# 3.7: Ineffective business planning

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
	Risk description revised
	July and September
	2022
Monitoring Committee	Finance and Investment
	Committee
Executive Lead	Executive Director of
	Strategy & Partnerships
Date of last review	30/09/2022
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	4	16
Current risk rating	4	3	12
Target risk rating	3	2	6
Target to be achieved by	2023		

#### **Risk Description:**

Revised risk description, September 2022 (removed reference to performance management, as at July 2022 description had been "Ineffective business planning arrangements and performance management may lead to"):

Ineffective business planning arrangements may lead to: the Trust failing to achieve its strategic ambition; problems and issues recurring rather than being resolved; reactive rather than pro-active approaches; decision-making defaulting to short-termism; and inconsistent work prioritisation, further exacerbated by the challenge of limited resources, impacting upon staff frustration and low morale.

Potential enablers in order to mitigate the risk:

- develop a strategic plan and an integrated business plan for the organisation;
- realign performance management metrics to these plans; and
- monitor and align the delivery of strategic programmes across the Trust.

#### Previous wording:

Ineffective business planning arrangements and/or inadequate mechanisms to track delivery of plans and programmes, could lead to: the Trust failing to achieve its annual objectives and consequently being unable to meet its strategic objectives; the Trust being in breach of regulatory and statutory obligations.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Strategic Framework	Level 1: reassurance	Action plan to address	As at June/July 2022, outline
including 5-Year Strategy	Board Strategy Days – April,	challenges in the short,	plan being developed by
2021-26 and Digital Health	July, October/November	medium and long term.	Director of Strategy &
and Care Strategy 2021-26;	2022		Partnerships, with short,
	Level 2: internal		medium and long-term
- Business Services,	Integrated Performance		actions and expected
Performance Team and	Report to the Board in public		outputs. Internal delivery
Service Change (Programme	– on delivery against the		architecture will comprise:
& Project Management)	strategic objectives, key		- high-level Strategy and
functions.	focus areas and Objective		clear articulation of strategic
	Key Results.		objectives and their
<ul> <li>Annual Planning process</li> </ul>			achievement;
jointly led by Finance and	Integrated Annual Planning		- medium-term (2-3 years)
Strategy started, as at end of	Process co-lead by Finance		Strategic Plan to bridge the
September 2022, and	and Strategy and reporting to		

involving: Finance team,	Executive Management		gap between daily
Strategy team, Workforce	Committee		operations and the Strategy;
planning team, Performance	Level 3: independent		- in-year Strategic Plan with
team.			in-year priorities supported
			by regularly reported metrics
			and an integrated
			operational plan
		No clear business plans yet	Annual Plan process started,
		set for individual services for	as at end of September 2022,
		current FY. Trust could	to produce integrated plan
		benefit from medium term (3	between workforce, finance
		year) plan to tie together	and activity for 2023/24
		finance and service	
		improvement/sustainability,	
		workforce planning etc.	
		(particularly in the context of	
		operating within ICS) more	
		clearly and create an	
		implementation for the Trust	
		strategy.	
		Operational planning process	
		changed due to impact of	
		being part of the ICS and part	
		of an ICS submission to NHS	
		England. Individual	
		organisations no longer	
		provide individual	
		Operational Plan returns to	
		NHS England.	
		OWNERS: Strategy & System	
		Partnerships Lead; and	
		Director of Finance	

### Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

# 3.10: Information Governance & Cyber Security

Date added to BAF	12/01/21
Monitoring Committee	Finance & Investment
· ·	Committee
Executive Lead	Executive Director for
	Digital & Transformation
Date of last review	14/09/2022
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	5	4	20
Current risk rating	4	3	12
Target risk rating	3	3	9
Target to be achieved by			

### **Risk Description:**

Failure to protect the information we hold as a result of ineffective information governance and/or cyber security could lead to: personal data and information being processed unlawfully (with resultant legal or regulatory fines or sanctions); cyberattacks which could compromise the Trust's infrastructure and ability to deliver services and patient care, especially if loss of access to key clinical systems; data loss or theft affecting patients, staff or finances; and reputational damage.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Information Governance	Level 1: reassurance	In August 2022, IT failure	Major incident response set
Team;	- Information Management	with patient record systems	up to manage contingency
- GDPR Group workshops;	Group (IMG);	provided and externally	plans, resolve the technical
- Mandatory IG training for	- Monthly Cyber Security	hosted by a third party	issue and provide alternative
all staff Trust wide, plus ad	activities review via Oxford	supplier led to staff being	access to clinical information.
hoc training with clinical	Health Cyber Security	unable to access patient	Patient safety risk and more
focus on sage info sharing;	Working Group.	record systems and clinical	detailed incident-related
- Information assets and	Level 2: internal	information, thereby leading	risks maintained at Trust Risk
systems are risked assessed	- Finance & Investment	to risks to staff and patient	Register and Silver Command
using standard Data	Committee receives reports	harm. Trust internal	level. Cyber assessments for
Protection Impact	from IMG (most recently July	operational and cyber	alternative solutions fast
Assessment (DPIA) tool;	2022);	security not compromised.	tracked so as to be
- Appointment of Cyber	- Monitoring of IG training		implemented without delay.
Security Consultant (2020);	attendance;		
- Membership of Oxfordshire	- Incident management and		
Cyber Security Working	response process (enhanced	Penetration testing	Log4Shell Cyber Security
Group;	to meet DSPT requirements)	undertaken in May 2020	vulnerability update provided
- 'Third Party Cyber Security	through which data and	(with OUH), July 2020 (NHS	to Audit Committee on 23
Assessment' (checklist &	cyber security incidents are	Digital), and NHSD Data	February 2022; assurance
questionnaire) developed, to	monitored and reviewed;	Security Onsite Assessment	provided on the Trust's
provide a systems	- Programme of independent	(CE+ & DSPT) in Nov 2020	response.
requirement specification	penetration testing of	identified a few low to	
and to ensure any new	systems/services (annual	medium risk information	ICO Data Protection audit
Information Systems being	from 2020);	system and user account	(achieved 'Reasonable'
procured adhere to DSPT	- NHS Digital Data Security	weaknesses;	assurance), November 2021,
Cyber Security standards;	and Protection Toolkit (DSPT)		conducted as part of the
	annual self-assessment.		ICO's routine audit

- AppLocker and restrictions to ensure desktop applications are controlled and centrally approved;
- Systems access control and audit managed by way of: programme of penetration testing (annually from 2020); cyber security assessed and tested prior to implementation of new systems; USB device controls; use of external cyber security scoring and scanning tools and services (e.g. NHS Digital's BitSight, VMS Vulnerability Management Service, Nessus Vulnerability Scanning, Microsoft Defender Advanced Threat Protection);
- GCHQ-certified Cyber Security Board Briefing delivered by NHS Digital and the IT team to the Board Seminar on 14 February 2019;
- Mail filtering system to flag or block suspicious, malicious of unsafe communications, to limit the flow of phishing emails, malware and/or unsafe URLs;
- Implementation of Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA) has significantly reduced Office 365 user compromises;
- Cyber Security Awareness and Cyber Security SharePoint sites.

- Cyber Security updates to Audit Committee (most recent February 2022);
- Data Quality Maturity Index **98.1%** (Dec 2020) (target 95%)

#### Level 3: independent

- Improved NHS Digital's BitSight cyber rating, which exceeds peers in benchmarking and is in top 30% of organisations globally;
- VMS Vulnerability Scanning, and NSCN WebCheck Service, with identified vulnerabilities monitored and remediated or mitigated;
- -NHS Digital penetration test (July 2020) and Data Security Onsite Assessment Non 2020):
- -Microsoft Defender ATP Threat & Vulnerability Management (TVM) tools and process. The lower our TVM score, the more secure our estate;
- Secure messaging accreditation achieved (NHS Digital DCB1596);
- ICO investigation of referrals made by data subjects;
- ICO Data Protection audit (achieved 'Reasonable' assurance), November 2021, conducted as part of the ICO's routine audit programme and reported into the Audit Committee in December 2021. Purpose to provide independent assurance of compliance with Data Protection legislation.

Trust does not yet have National Cyber Security Centre Cyber Security Essentials Plus certification;

MFA cannot be applied to all local systems and backup authentication.

Desktop Third Party Software Patch Management is currently reactive only via ATP and internal resource fails to keep pace with the requirements.

Training and awareness

As Cyber Security hardening such as assessments, penetration testing and other enhancements are being developed, the Cyber and Server management resource available to ensure the trust will meet the June 2021 DSPT/CE+ deadline and offer wider support such as awareness training is reduced. Additional Cyber Security and Server Management resource is required to address those needs and maintain and adequate pace.

programme and reported into the Audit Committee in December 2021. Purpose to provide independent assurance of compliance with Data Protection legislation.

Though Server Team, IAOs and suppliers have addressed the most significant threats, some low vulnerability supplier remediation is still required and forms part of long term programme of work.

OWNER: Executive Director for Digital & Transformation

Focus remains on achieving Cyber Essentials Plus (CE+) certification. Work is ongoing ahead of the mandatory deadline of June 2021 to be CE+ certified. OWNER: Executive Director

OWNER: Executive Director for Digital & Transformation and Cyber Security Consultant.

Privileged Access Management (PAM) and conditional access are being developed by the Server Team.

Software patch management solutions are being investigated by the Desktop & Apps Team.

Business justification for procurement of awareness training package for staff has been submitted;

Consider re-delivering further GCHQ-certified Cyber Security Board Briefing during 2021.

OWNER: Executive Director for Digital & Transformation and Cyber Security

Consultant.

	Funding bid for cyber
	security apprentice has been
	submitted.

## Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

## 3.11: Business solutions residing in a single data centre

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	Finance and Investment
	Committee
Executive Lead	Executive Director for
	Digital & Transformation
Date of last review	20/09/22
Risk movement	<b>\</b>
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	4	4	16
Current risk rating	3	3	9
Target risk rating	2	2	4
Target to be achieved by	Q3 FY23 (delayed fr December		

#### **Risk Description:**

The Trust has an extensive amount of business solutions residing in a single data centre. Failure of that single data centre could result in a number of Trust IT systems becoming unavailable to staff, with the Trust having no direct control over the restoration of services.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- 'Cloud first' approach	Level 1: reassurance		Movement to new data
where key financial and			centre (delayed from
clinical systems are hosted	Level 2: internal		anticipated completion in
externally within supplier	Reporting to the Audit		December 2021) achieved by
Public or Private Cloud	Committee, the Finance &		September 2022 with new
infrastructures. These	Investment Committee and		data centre live and disaster
systems would not be	the Board		recovery resilience in place.
affected directly by a data	Level 3: independent		The Disaster Recovery plan
centre outage;	·		(in the event of a significant
- Trust hosts a data room			loss of the Trust's data
within the Whiteleaf Centre			centre) is in the final stages
where certain systems have			of draft and will be
resilient hardware;			presented to the Trust for
- Clinical business continuity			adoption once finalised. This
processes in place in the			project and its associated risk
event of a failure over the			can then be formally closed.
short term.			There is no financial
			allocation or funding
			requirement for this project
			in FY23.
			Owner: Director of Strategy
			& Partnerships
			Target: January 2022
			(achieved September 2022)
			New Data Centre was due to
			be subject to an Internal
			Audit review as part of FY23
			Internal Audit plan but now

	delayed as transfer not
	complete in December 2021.

## Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

## 3.12: Business continuity and emergency planning

Date added to BAF	19/01/21
Monitoring Committee	Emergency Planning Group (sub-group to Executive Management Committee) and moving to Audit Committee from 2022
Executive Lead	Director of Corporate Affairs & Co Sec
Date of last review	28/10/2021
Risk movement	$\longleftrightarrow$
Date of next review	October 2022

Gross (Inherent) risk rating	5	3	15
Current (residual) risk rating	4	3	12
Target risk rating	3	3	9
Target to be achieved by			

Impact

Likelihood

Rating

#### **Risk Description:**

Failure to maintain adequate business continuity and emergency planning arrangements in order to sustain core functions and deliver safe and effective services during a wide-spread and sustained emergency or incident, for example a pandemic, could result in harm to patients, pressure on and harm to staff, reputational damage, regulator intervention.

Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Accountable Emergency	Level 1: reassurance	On 2020 Self-assessment	Improvement plan for
Officer (currently Director of	- Emergency Planning	against NHSE/I EPRR Core	actions against the 4 core
Corporate Affairs & Co Sec),	Resilience and Response	Standards, Trust was only	standards with which Trust
supported by nominated	(EPRR) Group 3 x per year;	partially compliant with 4 of	was not compliant was
Non-executive lead and a	- Psychosocial response	54 standards (fully compliant	developed and presented to
clinical director;	group (sub-group of	with other 50). Partial	CCG (Oct 2020). Work is
- Designated Emergency	Emergency Planning group);	compliance in respect of:	ongoing in relation to Action
Planning Lead, supporting	- Service Business Continuity	- command and control	Plan.
the executive in the	Plans signed off by heads of	standard (training of on-call	OWNER: Director of
discharge of their duties;	service via relevant	staff)	Corporate Affairs & Co Sec,
- Emergency Planning Group	directorate/corporate	- Training and exercising	and Emergency Planning
3 x per year oversees	committee.	standard (EPRR training for	Lead
emergency preparedness	Level 2: internal	heads on call & strategic and	<b>Update</b> on four areas of
work programme with	- Annual Emergency	tactical responder training	partial compliance (July
representation from	Planning, Resilience and	for heads on call)	2021):
directorates, HR, and estates	Response report (most	- Response standard	- Training: OMT agreed in
& facilities.	recently to Board in Nov	(loggists).	May 2021 that EPRR training
- Psychosocial Response	2021). Aim to bring annual		should form part of local
Group (subgroup reporting	EPRR reporting to the Audit		induction. Next step is to
	Committee, before final		

# to Emergency Planning Group);

- Trust wide Pandemic Plan first approved 2012, updated annually, and updated multiple times in 2020 to reflect Covid-19 workstreams, operational changes and learning from Covid-19 pandemic;
- Response Manual incident response plan - emergency preparedness, resilience and response) (updated July 2021) provides emergency response framework;
- On call system;
- Directorate/service specific Business Continuity Plans (BCPs) in place for services, in respect of: Reduced staffing levels (for any reason e.g pandemic); evacuation; technology failure; interruption to power supplies (gas & electricity); severe weather; flooding/water leak; water
- supply disruption; fuel shortage; lockdown; infection control; food supply; pharmacy supply; - Completion and updating of
- BCPs supported and monitored by Emergency Planning Lead, with register of BCPs held centrally;
- BCPs are reviewed annually or following an incident;
- Training for directors on call;
- Undertaking of exercises (live exercise every three years, tabletop exercise every year and a test of communications cascades every six months (NHS England emergency preparedness framework, 2015)). Lessons incorporated into major incident plans, business continuity plans and shared with partner organisations;
- training scenarios on intranet for services to use to

submission to the Board, starting in late 2022;

- EPRR Exercises, with learning incorporated into major incident plans, business continuity plans and shared with partners;
- Self-assessment against
   NHSE/I EPRR Core Standards

#### Level 3: independent

- Self-assessment examined and accepted by CCG on behalf of NHSE/I;
- Improvement plan for actions against the 4 core standards with which Trust was not compliant was presented to CCG (Oct 2020).

work with directorates to enable this.

 Loggists: Training delivered to PAs and directors on call (who would lead incident response).

exercise business continuity		
plans;		
- Engagement with Thames		
Valley Local Health Resilience		
partnership, and		
Membership of Oxon &		
Bucks Resilience Groups;		
- Horizon scanning and		
review of National and		
Community Risk registers by		
Emergency Planning Group.		

## Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

## 3.13: The Trust's impact on the environment

Date added to BAF	09/02/21
Monitoring Committee	Finance & Investment
Executive Lead	Executive Director for Digital & Transformation
Date of last review	17/11/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	3	4	12
Current (residual) risk rating	3	3	9
Target risk rating	3	1	3
Target to be achieved by	2023		

#### **Risk Description:**

A failure to take reasonable steps to minimise the Trust's adverse impact on the environment, maintain and deliver a Green Plan, and maintain improvements in sustainability in line with statutory duties, national targets, the NHS Long Term Plan and 'For a Greener NHS' ambitions (30%, 50% and 80% reduction in emissions by 2023, 2025 and 2030 respectively, and net zero carbon by 2040), could lead to: a failure to meet Trust and System objectives, reputational damage, loss of contracts, contribution to increased pollution within the wider community, and loss of cost saving opportunities.

[Statutory duties brought in by the Health & Care Act 2022 s.68 require NHS foundation trusts to have regard to relevant guidance published by NHS England and the need to contribute towards compliance with section 1 of the Climate Change Act 2008 (UK net zero emissions target), section 5 of the Environment Act 2021 (environmental targets) and adapt to any current or predicted impacts of climate change identified in the most recent report under section 56 of the Climate Change Act 2008]

Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Trust Green Plan/Strategy	Level 1: reassurance	GAP: Green Delivery Plan –	Green Delivery Plan meetings
2022-25;	- Monitoring of deliverables	Sustainability Governance	scheduled in key focus areas:
- Executive Lead for	by Sustainability Manager via	Structure. Action: to develop	buildings; travel;
Sustainability (Director of	dashboards;	Sustainability Governance	procurement; medicines;
Finance);	- Sustainability sub-groups	structure and sub groups.	sustainable health & green
- Commitment by Board to	(which report on to	OWNER: Executive Lead for	space / biodiversity. Green
Zero Carbon Oxford Charter	Sustainability Steering	Sustainability and	Task Force Group will meet
(Jan 2021);	Group).	Sustainability Lead	Quarterly to deliver Green
- Full time Sustainability	Level 2: internal	TARGET: Sept 2022	Plan, from November 2022
Manager post within Estates	- Green Task Force Group to	(completed Sept/Nov 2022).	chaired by Chief Finance
& Facilities Team;	deliver Green Plan chaired by		Officer. As at November
- Sustainability Group;	Chief Finance Officer; Green		2022, 2-year Sustainable

- Benchmarking and annual emissions reporting;
- Active Travel Plan to transfer vehicle fleet to 100% electric by 2028 (required date by NHSE);
- Procurement Policy sets out sustainability commitments required by suppliers;
- Green Energy Supplier for electricity via CCS,
- Developments to BREEAM (building sustainability assessments) and Part L (building regs).

Task Force to meet Quarterly.

- Sustainability Steering Group meets quarterly;
- Annual Travel Survey monitoring against base-line;
- Annual C02 emissions against previous year (to measure trend);
- Building Energy Surveys to identify areas of improvement;
- New ways of working questionnaires gathering information from services.
- As at 31 March 2021. reduced carbon emissions by 38% (exceeding NHS target) against baseline year of 2014-15;
- FY 20/21 reduced business mileage by 60% when compared to 19/20;
- Direct Carbon emissions for FY21 were **4,793 Co2e** (6,522 in FY19/20).

#### Level 3: external

- BOB ICS Net Zero Program **Board**
- Total Carbon Footprint Plus now reported by NHS England (54,000Tco2)

Energy crisis 2022 has brought into sharp focus

reducing the Estates energy demand.

Sustainability Policy and Plan were outdated and needed a suite of clear and concise action plans with clear delivery targets.

through Sustainable **Development Management** Group and recommended by the Executive. Trust Green Plan approved by the Board on 25 May 2022 and presented to the Council of Governors on 15 June 2022. Green Plan reviewed for financial impact with Chief Finance Officer in October 2022. Green Task Force Group will meet Quarterly to deliver Green Plan, from November 2022 chaired by

be insufficient to implement /Sustainability Coordinator)

Lack of visibility/reporting to Board Committees and/or the Board re sustainability & environmental data. Data is captured by Sustainability Manager and Estates Team, but not currently escalated.

New Trust Green Plan 2022-25 (roadmap to net zero carbon) been developed

travel Trial (EV for

Community Nursing Team)

As at November 2022, Energy

supported by National

Policy required to meet

Trust sign-off. During

of energy efficient LED

challenges of Energy crisis;

draft complete and ready for

September 2022, proposals

lighting, building insulation

group with Oxford University

change risk e.g extreme heat,

to review how to adapt our

building estate to climate

floods.

and Solar PV. The Trust is

also part of ZCOP sprint

developed for the installation

Greener NHS Team.

Increased resource as at June/July 2022. 5 Sub groups (Workforce, Assets/Travel, Green Space, Supply Chain, Sustainable Models of Care) reporting into Sustainability Steering Group.

Chief Finance Officer.

Sub-groups to develop action plans and establish resource needs to deliver.

**OWNER: Sustainability** Manager & Director of Finance

TARGET: Sept 2022

GAP: current resource may Green Plan. Additional resources to be considered (Sustainable Travel Officer

		Progress in last FY may be reversed if news ways of working are not extended/maintained post- Covid-19. Approach to limit business miles and use of cars to get to work (Note C-19 pandemic has seen a dramatic reduction in business miles).	Securing grants and central funding for sustainability projects; OWNER: Director of Estates and Facilities/Sustainability Manager.  New ways of working to be extended/maintained; OWNER: Head of Property Services/Service Director.
--	--	---	---

## Strategic Objective 3: Make the best use of our resources and protect the environment

## 3.14 Major Capital Projects

Date added to BAF	20/09/22
Monitoring Committee	Finance and Investment
	Committee
Executive Lead	Chief Finance Officer and
	Executive Director for
	Digital & Transformation
Date of last review	17/11/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent) risk rating	5	4	20
Current risk rating	4	4	16
Target risk rating	3	2	6
Target to be achieved by	2023		

#### **Risk Description:**

Insufficient programme infrastructure (or project management) to resource delivery of major capital projects or to support a necessary control environment and adherence to governance and decision-making arrangements may lead to: poorly planned and ultimately compromised capital projects; inability to proceed with capital projects; failure of capital projects to complete or to deliver against preferred outcomes; and unplanned expenses, delays and wasted resources, effectively amounting to constructive losses.

Key Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Programme Boards for key	Level 1: reassurance	GAP (assurances): Psychiatric	Finance & Investment
capital projects e.g. PICU	- Capital Programme sub-	Intensive Care Unit (PICU)	Committee meeting on 20
Programme Board and	committee	project delayed and with	September 2022 agreed that
Warneford Park Programme	- Warneford Park Project	budget overspend. PICU	the Current Risk Rating
Board	Board	project paused in June 2021;	should be 16 (extreme/red-
- Standing Financial		subject to external review	rated) in light of further
Instructions and Scheme of		December 2021; actions	delays around the PICU.
Delegation;	Level 2: internal	subject to further follow-up	However, this extreme risk
<ul> <li>Estates Strategy;</li> </ul>	- Finance & Investment	January-September 2022	rating may be a short-term
- Capital Programme Plan;	Committee (FIC) review of	(through Finance &	position and if there is
<ul> <li>Estates &amp; Facilities team;</li> </ul>	Capital Programme Plan and	Investment Committee,	evidence of improvement
- Service Change & Delivery	projects and approval of	Audit Committee and Board);	then the Current Risk Rating
team (projects and	business cases;	and missed original target of	may improve, although the

programme support for transformational projects and service change internally and with external partners);

-FIC monitors work of the Capital Programme subcommittee;

- FIC receives updates on/minutes of the Warneford Park Project Board for the Warneford redevelopment project

#### Level 3: independent

Internal Audit report on PICU project (December 2021) - highlighted actions to strengthen the PICU project

May 2022. Revised target of completion by 2023 unlikely to be met. New target of completion after March 2023. May remain a Gap pending evidence that lessons learned been embedded.

strategic risk may still remain for monitoring.

Internal Audit PICU project review report reviewed by Audit Committee, December 2021 and actions undertaken January-September 2022. Board reviewed PICU project at its meeting in private in May 2022 and received assurance that programme and project governance strengthened. Monthly Programme Board now in place. New target for PICU scheme to complete within **OWNERS: Executive Director** for Digital & Transformation

Regular updates into the FIC and the Board meeting in private on the progress of the Warneford redevelopment. Board kept updated on negotiations, Memorandum of Understanding and legal agreements, for example recently at its meeting in private in June 2022 which confirmed creation of a Warneford Park Programme Board (chaired by a Non-Executive Director). Further updates to Board in private in July and September 2022. Appropriate independent expert and/or legal advice to be obtained to support decisions relating to significant transactions (e.g. as part of significant capital projects such as PICU build and Warneford redevelopment projects), and decision makers to be fully sighted on such independent advice. **OWNERS: Director of** Corporate Affairs & Co Sec, **Executive Director for Digital** & Transformation, and Chief Finance Officer.

GAP (assurances): Warneford redevelopment project still in negotiation and development phase.

# Strategic Objective 4: Become a leading organisation in healthcare research and education

## 4.1: Failure to realise the Trust's Research and Development (R&D) potential

Date added to BAF	Pre-Jan 2021
Monitoring Committee	Quality Committee
Executive Lead	Chief Medical Officer
Date of last review	22/02/22
Risk movement	$\leftrightarrow$
Date of next review	November 2022

	Impact	Likelihood	Rating
Gross (Inherent)	3	3	9
risk rating			
Current risk	3	2	6
rating			
Target risk rating	3	1	3
Target to be			
achieved by			

#### **Risk Description:**

Failure to fully realise the Trust's academic and Research and Development (R&D) potential may adversely affect its reputation and lead to loss of opportunity.

Controls	Assurance	Gaps	Actions
- Director of R&D	Level 1: reassurance	GAP (Controls): R&D Strategy	R&D Strategy and future
- NIHR Infrastructure		in development.	goals discussed at the Inspire
Managers Group (formerly	Level 2: internal		Network event on 09 June
the Research Management	- Research updates and R&D		2022 (themed on 'R&D:
Group (RMG)) which	reporting into the Quality		Today's Research,
provides an opportunity for	Committee;		Tomorrow's Care'). The
managers of the NIHR	- R&D reports to Board (at		Inspire Network event
awards and the R&D Director	least twice a year, most		covered how research was
to meet regularly;	recently in March 2022 and		embedded in services and
- Clinical Research Facility	next due in July 2022);		how staff could get involved.
(CRF) and Biomedical	- progress reporting on the		A future goal was for the
Research Centre (BRC)	Toronto – Oxford Psychiatry		R&D Strategy to support
- BRC Steering Committee	Collaboration also provided		clinical strategy in the Trust
(BRC-SC);	to the Board (most recently		and to increase the amount
- Oxford Applied Research	in the Reading Room for the		of translational research.
Collaboration Oxford and	Board meeting in public in		
Thames Valley (OxTV) (ARC);	January 2022)	GAP (Controls): Outcome of	In February 2022 the NIHR
- ARC Management Board;		the Clinical Research Facility	confirmed that the CRF
- The R&D Director sits on	Level 3: independent	(CRF) bid is expected in early	application had been
the OUH Joint R&D	- The BRC, CRF, ARC and MIC	2022.	successful and just over £4m
committee. In December	report annually to the		had been awarded.
2021 the Oxford Joint	National Institute for Health		
Research Office (JRO) was	Research (NIHR);	GAP (Controls): Outcome of	Interviews for the Trust's BRC
expanded with the Trust and	- R&D is audited by the	the Biomedical Research	application took place in
Oxford Brookes University	Thames Valley & South	Centre (BRC) bid (otherwise	April 2022. NIHR will
formally joining with the	Midlands Clinical Research	the current BRC award will	announce outcome and any
University of Oxford and	Network (TV&SM- CRN)	finish at the end of	funding awards in May 2022.
OUH;	annually;	November 2022). BRC	
		renewal will be key in	

- representation and collaboration via these groups help to ensure that OHFT maximises the opportunities to fully realise its academic and research potential;
- Toronto Oxford Psychiatry Collaboration under a Memorandum of Understanding between the Trust, University of Oxford, the University of Toronto and the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health in Toronto
- In December 2018 R&D was subject to a two audits by the Department for Health and Social Care where no areas of concern where raised.

developing and embedding a culture of research across the Trust. It will also be an attractive feature in recruitment and may lead to the appointment of more clinical academics.

GAP (Controls): Warneford redevelopment – to progress. Complicated capital project and is being carefully monitored by the Finance & Investment Committee and with regular updates to the Board in private session.

Recent reporting on the Warneford into the Extraordinary Board (private session) in March 2022 and to the Board in private in May and June 2022. Also reporting into the FIC in January and July 2022.

Table 1a: Risk Matrix

		Likelihood					
		1	2	3	4	5	
		Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost certain	
	5 Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25	
/erity	4 Major	4	8	12	16	20	
Impact/severity	3 Moderate	3	6	9	12	15	
трас	2 Minor	2	4	6	8	10	
_	1 Negligible	1	2	3	4	5	

Table 1b: Likelihood scores (broad descriptors of frequency and probability)

Likelihood score	1	2	3	4	5
Descriptor	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost certain
Frequency How often might/does it occur	This will probably never happen/recur	Do not expect it to happen/recur but it is possible	Might happen or recur occasionally	Will probably happen/recur, but it is not a persisting issue	Will undoubtedly happen/recur, possibly frequently
Probability Will it happen or not?	<0.1%	0.1-1%	1-10%	10-50%	>50%

Table 1c - Assessment of the impact/severity of the consequence of an identified risk: domains, consequence scores and examples

	Consequence score (severity) and examples						
	1	2	3	4	5		
Domains	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic		
Domains Impact on the safety of patients, staff or public (physical/psychologi cal harm)	Negligible  Minimal injury requiring no/minimal intervention or treatment  No time off work	Minor  Minor injury or illness requiring minor intervention  Increase in length of hospital stay by 1–3 days	Moderate  Moderate injury requiring professional intervention  Requiring time off work for 4- 14 days  Increase in length of hospital stay by 4–15 days  RIDDOR/agency reportable incident  An event which impacts on a small number of patients	Incident resulting serious injury or permanent disability/incapaci ty  Requiring time off for >14 days  Increase in length of hospital stay by >15 days  Mismanagement of patient care with long-term effects	Catastrophic Incident resulting in fatality  Multiple permanent injuries or irreversible health effects  An event which impacts on a large number of patients		
Quality/ Complaints/audit	Peripheral element of treatment or service suboptimal Informal complaint/inqui ry	Overall treatment or service suboptimal  Formal complaint (stage 1)  Local resolution  Single failure to meet internal standards  Minor implications for patient safety if unresolved  Reduced performance rating if unresolved	Treatment or service has significantly reduced effectiveness  Formal complaint (stage 2)  Local resolution (with potential to go to independent review)  Repeated failure to meet internal standards  Major safety implications if findings are not acted upon	Non-compliance with national standards with significant risk to patients if unresolved  Multiple complaints / independent review  Low performance rating  Critical report  Major patient safety implications	Totally unacceptable level or quality of treatment/service  Gross failure of patient safety if findings not acted on  Inquest/ombudsm an inquiry  Gross failure to meet national standards		
Human resources / organisational development / staffing / competence	Short-term low staffing level that temporarily reduces service quality (< 1 day)	Low staffing level that reduces the service quality	Late delivery of key objective / service due to lack of staff  Unsafe staffing level or competence (>1 day)	Uncertain delivery of key objective / service due to lack of staff Unsafe staffing level or	Non-delivery of key objective/service due to lack of staff Ongoing unsafe staffing levels or competence		

				competence (>5	Loss of several key
Statutory duty / inspections	No or minimal impact or breach of guidance / statutory duty	Informal recommendati on from regulator.  Reduced performance rating if unresolved.	Low staff morale  Poor staff attendance for mandatory/key training  Single breach in statutory duty  Challenging external recommendations / improvement notice	competence (>5 days)  Loss of key staff  Very low staff morale  No staff attending mandatory / key training  Enforcement action  Multiple breaches in statutory duty  Improvement notices	Loss of several key staff  No staff attending mandatory training / key training on an ongoing basis  Multiple breaches in statutory duty  Prosecution  Complete systems change required Zero performance rating
Adverse publicity / reputation	Rumours  Potential for public concern	Local media coverage – short-term reduction in public confidence  Elements of public expectation not	Local media coverage— long- term reduction in public confidence	Low performance rating  Critical report  National media coverage with <3 days service well below reasonable public expectation	National media coverage with >3 days service well below reasonable public expectation. MP concerned (questions in the House) Total loss of public
Business objectives / projects	Insignificant cost increase/ schedule slippage	being met  <5 per cent over project budget  Schedule slippage of a week	5–10 per cent over project budget Schedule slippage of two to four weeks	10–25 per cent over project budget  Schedule slippage of more than a month  Key objectives not met	confidence >25 per cent over project budget  Schedule slippage of more than six months  Key objectives not met
Finance including claims	Negligible loss	Claim of <£10,000 Loss of 0.1- 0.25% of budget	Claim of between £10,000 and £100,000  Failure to meet CIPs or CQUINs targets of between £10,000 and £50,000  Loss of 0.25-0.5% of budget	Claim of between £100,000 and £1million  Purchasers fail to pay promptly  Uncertain delivery of key objective / Loss of 0.5-1.0% of budget	Loss of major contract / payment by results  Claim of >£1million  Non-delivery of key objective/loss of >1% of budget

Service/business	Loss/interruptio	Loss /	Loss /	Loss /	Permanent loss of
interruption	n of >1 hour	interruption of	interruption of	interruption of >1	service or facility
Environmental		>8 hours	>1 day	week	
impact	Minimal or no				Catastrophic
	impact on the	Minor impact	Moderate	Major impact on	impact on
	environment	on	impact on	environment	environment
		environment	environment		
Additional examples	Incorrect	Wrong drug or	Wrong drug or	Wrong drug or	Unexpected death
	medication	dosage	dosage	dosage	
	dispensed but	administered	administered	administered	Suicide of patient
	not taken	with no	with potential	with adverse	know to the
		adverse effects	adverse effects	effects	service in the last
	Incident				12 months
	resulting in	Physical attack	Physical attack	Physical attack	
	bruise/graze	such as	causing	resulting in	Homicide
		pushing,	moderate injury	serious injury	committed by
	Delay in routine	shoving or			mental health
	transport for	pinching	Self-harm	Grade 4 pressure	patient
	patient.	causing minor	requiring	sore	
		injury	medical		Incident leading to
			attention	Long term HCAI	paralysis
		Self harm			
		resulting in	Grade 2/3	Loss of a limb	Rape/serious
		minor injury	pressure ulcer		sexual assault
				Post-traumatic	
		Grade 1	Healthcare	stress disorder	Incident leading to
		pressure ulcer	acquired		long term mental
			infection (HCAI)		health problem
		Laceration,			
		sprain, anxiety			
		requiring			
		occupational			
		health			
		counselling (no			
		time off work)			